



A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

ON TARGET

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance –

Print Post Publication Number 381667 00258

Vol.42, No.13

April 7th, 2006

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: "There is a pressing need to discuss the subject of religion in secular – i.e. non-theological terms. Nevertheless, it will be found, as secular investigation approaches the vital central meaning of life, it begins to acquire numinous qualities; i.e. the meanings begin to glow, to be charged with feelings of value, and so begin to lose its cold secular character. There is a word that can stand for religion, but it is not one in which the meaning of religion can be found; it is one which that meaning can be put. It is the word 'Order'.

The problem for the individual today is that of achieving and maintaining order inside his own mind within a human environment which is rapidly falling into appalling disorder. The concept of 'Order' in this broad context can be imagined as representing the highest possible value, since everything, the worthwhileness of existence, survival itself, depends on it."

– Ivor Benson in *"Behind the News"*, January 1982

BALANCING THE BLAME by James Reed: A number of lady readers have expressed criticism at a couple of my articles which they believe are "unfair" to women. Not all women are feminists they have said, and many women get to positions of success without affirmative actions. "Your prejudices are showing through, James." In reply, in the face of the massive threats which the West now faces, all that I can hope is that more people become as "prejudicial" as myself, quickly.

Across the world, especially in the West, but also in countries such as China, Singapore and South Korea, birthrates are below replacement level. People are often having no children at all. Sometimes this birth dearth is blamed on the high cost of child care (e.g., "High Cost of Holding a Baby", *The Weekend Australian*, 18-19/3/06, p.23) but in Sweden the State finances generous tax incentives for people to start families but it makes little overall difference.

Phillip Longman, a senior fellow at the New America Foundation has written a book about this birth dearth problem, called "The Empty Cradle: How Falling Birthrates Threaten World Prosperity and What To Do About It" (Basic Books, 2004). An extract of the deeply brilliant book was recently published in *The Weekend Australian* (25-26/3/06, p.29).

Longman observes that falling birthrates have occurred before in human civilisation. Patriarchy, the system of male rule has traditionally kept birthrates high among the upper classes and "no advanced

IN THIS ISSUE: • *Thought For The Week* • *Balancing The Blame* • *A 'Sifting Process'* • *South Australia's Nick Xenophon – How Did He Do It?* • *Peers Stand Firm Against Blair's ID Cards*

civilisation has yet learned how to endure without it". Male domination enables a maximisation of power and "those that didn't were either overrun or absorbed", Longman says that this "may be obnoxious to the enlightened, but it is set to make a comeback".

In one of my articles I said that the logic of women's liberation – working mothers with one pet child or women who pursued their brilliant careers rather than have a child at all – will by natural selection, work to eliminate feminism and restore patriarchy.

Longman also argues along such lines. The members of the society of the future will be composed of individuals descended from parents who rejected those social tendencies and their views include, he says, "an adherence to traditional patriarchal religion, and a strong identification with one's own folk and nation". Longman notes that "those Europeans most likely to identify themselves as world citizens are also those least likely to have children".

Patriarchal societies only degenerate into misogyny when such societies are breaking down, Longman points out. Healthy patriarchal societies protect women. Male sexual energy is directed into marriage. Sex outside of marriage is a force which works to undermine the institution of the family and produce rogue males.

Longman quotes economist Nancy Folbre who has said: "Patriarchal control over women tends to increase their specialisation in reproductive labour, with important consequences for both the quantity and quality of their investments in the next generation." In other words, patriarchy produces better mothers.

Our present liberated system is one where both men and women have put the pursuit of money and material possessions in front of what were the basic values, such as having a family. Our side of politics readily blames the elite/rulers/conspirators for ills such as those described here, and rightly so. But the blame should be balanced.

Part of the blame for the empty cradle lies with men and women. Women in particular are not robots or deterministic mechanisms. As a matter of choice and free will they have gone along with the requirements of the new world order. It is a matter of largely female choice that Australia's abortion rate approaches 100,000 per year. Women have not largely opposed a system which is conducting a war against manhood, with Australia having the highest (per capita) male suicides and middle aged male suicide rates in the world.

Feminism commits the sins of commission but there are sins of omission as well. Generation X and Y women are quite happy to benefit from this war against manhood. Go to the universities and the pubs and observe them and weep. Observe the excuses for manhood that populate our universities and fear for the future.

Tucked next to Longman's extract is a short article entitled "The Severe Oversupply of Manpower" (*The Weekend Australian*, 25-26/3/06, p.29) by Martin Walker. The article reports on another topic which I have written about in the past: the sex imbalance in China. Although women usually outnumber men in most societies, the use of the ultrasound machine and female abortion has led to a sexual imbalance in China, with its existing one-child policy. By 2020 China may have as many as 40 million "frustrated bachelors". The article has this subheading: "Young western men face more competition from Asian counterparts for wives."

Don't say that I didn't warn you. When the White western male falls, the future will be as it is now in China-dominated Tibet. Now is the time for resistance of the slow death of the West.

A 'SIFTING PROCESS': In late 1938 Major Clifford Douglas was host at a luncheon to those men who had gathered round him and helped form the Social Credit Secretariat. In the course of his remarks to the gathering Douglas explained the primary purpose for the invitation was to enjoy the personal pleasure it gave him in seeing them there. After all, any enterprise such as was contemplated by them, must involve, in itself, a sifting process.

"And," he said, "we have just experienced something of that kind, and, while having taken very little part in it, I have watched with the greatest attention the sifting process going on. It has been, to a great extent a sifting of character. If this sounds like rudeness it is really far from it - but it has been a sifting of character far more than of brilliance or superficial ability. I discount brilliance and superficial ability for very much the same reasons that, even a salesman discounts "flashy" brilliance. There is a process by which to use the jargon of modern psychology, things become driven down into the subconscious, and it is by a steady process of that kind that the composite parts and tendencies of individuals become character, which is something very important. Dr. Tudor Jones has very properly defined character as "the policy of the individual". (*The New Era* 10/3/39).

The Devil is God Upside Down: In one of his earlier books, he quoted the English translation of the Latin proverb, *Daemon est deus inversus*, and observed he was continually impressed by the fact that there are very few policies of modern governments which would not be first-class policies if they were turned upside down. But how do we get what we want?

SOUTH AUSTRALIA'S NICK XENOPHON - HOW DID HE DO IT? First, please bear with me and think about what Douglas had to say on the matter. We know modern political parties have learned well the crafty art of 'divide and rule'. But! said Douglas, we must Unite and Don't be Ruled! How?

Douglas observed: "Another basis of (I hope) realism. Don't at all assume that you are going to do something with large masses of people, without being perfectly clear as to why you should be able to deal with large masses of people. In this matter, we are dealing with forces which, however different they may be from mechanical forces, are nevertheless forces, and obey laws which have all the appearances of being just as rigid."

"I am sure many causes failed by basing action on the assumption that if you take a perfectly good and sound thing you can get a very large number of people to attend to it, or enlist a large number of people, who, by their attention to what you have to put before them, would get the thing done.

"Much more important is to find out why they are already joining battle over some objective. If you can, get hold of what already someone else is doing and help him to get it quicker. We have to get organisation which works on what lawyers call "enabling bill" lines.

(Enabling: providing legal power or sanction. Render capable or able for some task....ed)

"We have not to suggest what to do to be done, but make it easier to get it done. In doing that I believe we shall be pursuing a novel method, with attention to that supremely wise saying, 'If any man would be greatest among you let him be your servant.'"

And that is just what Nick Xenophon did!

While he certainly knows how to use the media well, when former Labor politician Kris Hanna became an Independent (and was also returned to State parliament!). Xenophon backed him up. When the widow of the hit-run cyclist Ian Humphrey sought better victim support, Xenophon was by her side. When the beleaguered South Australian egg producers wanted action Xenophon came out fighting for them. He took on the movie megaplex at Westfield's Marion when the management insisted patrons could not take their own foodstuffs into the theatre but had to purchase from their

overpriced retail outlet. And of course he has been fighting the flood of Pokies into this state and the resulting human tragedies for many a year.

Look carefully at what he is doing. He is "getting hold of what already someone else is doing and helping him to get it quicker". For his efforts, Nick Xenophon was not only returned to the Upper House, he received two and a half times the number of votes needed for a quota thus taking another Independent colleague into parliament with him.

The minor parties could learn some very important lessons from Nick Xenophon's approach to his fellow South Australians.

As for the Liberals, they are bewailing the fact the swing against them was as much as 10 per cent. There is much wailing and gnashing of teeth and promises to "reconnect with the people". It has been tipped the federal Industrial Relations legislation will prove to be a big headache for the Liberals in the future. The working public feel betrayed and very resentful that workers' rights and safeguards gained over many years have been wiped out by the Howard federal government.

Of course the League of Rights has pointed out time and again there is no resolution of the issue worker versus employer under the present policies/rules – in fact this conflict is at least a hundred years old! The Liberals are chanting the mantra the Industrial Relations legislation was placed on the statute books for "The National Interest", and to improve productivity. Would somebody please point out to me who is this "National Interest"? A bit like the Scarlet Pimpernel – you can seek him here, you can seek him there, but he is always elusive. A shadowy figure is this "National Interest"!

If the production of a nation is not for the people of the nation then why on earth are we producing? If you follow the Liberals' line of reasoning, they would have us believe the people are for production – not the other way round. Another case of *Daemon est deus inversus*.

The problem is not production – it hasn't been for many a year. The problem is distribution of that abundant production!

****Anthony Cooney's series on Social Credit provide answers to the resolution of the so-called conflict between workers and employers. Start with "Social Credit: Economics", \$12.50 posted from all League Book Services.**

PEERS STAND FIRM AGAINST BLAIR'S ID CARDS: It seems Tony Blair's Labour Party is not having it all its own way as it tries to introduce an ID card system in Britain - but of course it's not called that. The House of Lords has thrown out the Bill for the third time, with the Blair government insisting it is determined to drive the scheme on to the statute books.

With little sign of either House backing off, the impasse over the Identity Cards Bill threatens to develop into a constitutional crisis.

The Lords recently voted by 218 to 183, a majority of 35, to reject proposals to require all people registering for a biometric passport to include their details on the new register that is intended to underpin the cards.

Peers protested that the proposal amounted to an attempt to introduce a compulsory ID scheme by stealth. The Tory spokeswoman Baroness Anelay of St Johns said: "We believe quite simply that there are other and better ways of securing our safety, reducing the fraudulent use of services and managing migration."

"ON TARGET" is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights, 145 Russell St., Melbourne.
Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001. Telephone: (03) 9650 9749, Fax: (03) 9650 9368.
Subscription \$40.00 p.a.